Form PTO-447A (Rev. 7-98)	Staple to face of Application	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFIC
APPLICAT	ON TRANSFER REQUEST I	FOR S.N. 10056987
Section I. TRANSFER REQ	UEST BY	Name Date
TO: Art Unit Class/sub	/	FROM: A.U Class
REASON:	·	
Gatekeeper concurrence		
Section IIa. DISPOSITION	BY RECEIVING TC By: ANDERSON	I, MATTHEW D A.U. 2186/ Date 8/7/2002
NOT ACCEPTED Forward to	receiving TC Post Classifier	
REASON: client/server: possibly class 709? Ex.	Sniezek did not follow current transfer procedure	and case will be forwarded to post-class

Section IIb. DISPOSITION	BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFI	ER METALLIA
KT /	Samuel de TC/ALL 4139, Class (Sub 709)	913+11 wangen 9/91
This dispute was resolved.	Forward to TC/AU 2132 Class/Sub 709	213+ M. Fatcheyer Date 8/21
This dispute was resolved. Multicomputer de Shared memories und	Forward to TC/AU 2132 Class/Sub 709 Ital protocol is classed to the stateful protocol in the stateful pr	213+ Post Classifier Date 8/25 Concurring
Multicomputer de Shared memories und This dispute was not resolved, for	Forward to TC/AU <u>2132</u> Class/Sub <u>709</u> the protocol is clorward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL	213+ Post Classifier Date 8/25 Concurring
This dispute was resolved. Multi computer de Shared memorges und This dispute was not resolved, for Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper concurrence	the protocol is cloward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL	Post Classifier Date
Multicomputer de Shared memorges Chared memorges Chared for This dispute was not resolved, for Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper concurrence	the protocol is cloward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL	Post Classifier Date
Multicomputer de Shared memorges Chared memorges Chared for This dispute was not resolved, for Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper concurrence	the stateful protocol is clorward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL	admed.
Multicomputer de Shared memorges und I This dispute was not resolved, for Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper concurrence Section III. DISPOSITION I	the stateful protocol is clorward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL	Post Classifier Date
Mwhicomputer de Shared memorges und I This dispute was not resolved, for Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper concurrence Section III. DISPOSITION I Panel Decision: REASON: Panel Member	BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Forward To Technology Center/	Post Classifier Date Date Art Unit Class/sub / Concurring Panel Member
Mwhicomputer de Shared memorges und I This dispute was not resolved, for Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper concurrence Section III. DISPOSITION I Panel Decision: REASON: Panel Member	BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Forward To Technology Center/	Post Classifier Date Date Art Unit Class/sub / Concurring Panel Member
Mwhicomputer de Shared memorges und I This dispute was not resolved, for Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper concurrence Section III. DISPOSITION I Panel Decision: REASON: Panel Member	BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Forward To Technology Center/	Post Classifier Date Date Art Unit Class/sub / Concurring Panel Member
Mwhicomputer de Shared memorges und I This dispute was not resolved, for Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper concurrence Section III. DISPOSITION I Panel Decision: REASON: Panel Member	BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Forward To Technology Center/	Post Classifier Date Date Art Unit Class/sub / Concurring Panel Member
Mwhicomputer de Shared memorges und I This dispute was not resolved, for Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper concurrence Section III. DISPOSITION I Panel Decision: REASON: Panel Member	the stateful protocol is clorward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL	Post Classifier Date Date Art Unit Class/sub / Concurring Panel Member